

SOCIETAL IMPACTS AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS OF AI IN THE BUSINESS

Reis Mulita¹,
Zurab Nasaraia²,
Oksana Konarivska³,
Svitlana Boiko⁴,
Tetiana Paniuk⁵

Abstract: Using advanced technologies in general, and AI in particular, are enabling and bringing valuable services and contributions to society in many directions. Likewise, for nature, their contribution is significant in different areas. But, as we consider the positive aspects of AI for society and nature, we must bear in mind that at the same time the advancement of technologies and the use of AI are causing societal side effects and external costs, which are tangible and visibly damaging society and nature. In this presentation, through literature sources and empirical findings, we will highlight the positive and side effects of the application of AI, assessing that the challenge of society and professionals in the field is to minimize side effects and external costs of AI, making the AI beneficial for humanity. The paper conveys the hypothesis that the application of AI Ethics is an effective tool to make AI useful and beneficial both for society and for nature. For this purpose, corporations and businesses of all sizes, must clearly articulate their attitude and behaviour in regard to

¹ Canadian Institute of Technology, Albania e-mail: reis.mulita@cit.edu.al, ORCID: 0000-0003-3911-469X

² Doctor of Economics, Department of Public Administration and E-Business, Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia, e-mail: nasaraiazurab@gmail.com, ORCID: 0009-0007-0369-2320

³ Candidate of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor of the Department of tourism and hotel and restaurant business National University of Water and Environmental Engineering, 33028Rivne, Ukraine. e-mail: konarivska@gmail.com . ORCID: 0000-0002-1760-9264

⁴Interregional Academy of Personnel Management, Ukraine, e-mail: Boykosvetlana68@gmail.com, ORCID: 0009-0002-0524-7355

⁵ Candidate of Economics Sciences, Associate Professor, Rivne State University of Humanities, Ukraine e-mail: panjuk@ukr.net, ORCID: 0000-0002-2494-6111

the use of technologies. The collection of literature, empirical findings, analysis, comparison, generalization, and evaluations will be used as research instruments to testify the idea presented. The paper recommends that business organizations should apply the AI Code of Ethics as an integral part of their daily activity.

Key words: artificial intelligence, ethics, society, nature, business

JEL: G30, O33, J21, L10.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.58861/tae.bm.2024.4.02>

Introduction

Aspects of human life have changed significantly as a result of the rapid development and application of artificial intelligence (AI) technology in numerous human endeavors (Gryshova et al., 2024). AI can be utilized to assist a company contract down its pool of thousands of work candidates. AI can be connected to assist a specialist make a suggestion for care or perform a procedure. AI might appear up in standard of living by helping a driver discover a quicker course domestic (M. Ozkan-Okay et al., 2024; Beloev et al., 2021). AI is forming the long run of humankind over about each industry (Penev et al., 2024). It is currently the biggest driver of rising advances like big data, mechanical technology and IoT - not to specify generative AI, with instruments like ChatGPT and AI craftsmanship generators gathering standard consideration — and it will proceed to act as a mechanical trend-setter for the predictable future (Petrova et al., 2022; Popova et al., 2023; Hasan & Petrova, 2023; Popova et al., 2024).

AI's effect on progress is due to how it impacts computing. Through AI, computers have the capacity to process huge data sets and utilize their learned bits of knowledge to form perfect choices and disclosures in divisions of the time that it would take individuals. In 2018, the total amount of storage globally available was estimated to be about 20 zettabytes (1 zettabyte = 10^{21} byte = 109 terabytes). (Reinsel, et al., 2017). Another estimate coming from the International Data Corporation assumes that the total volume will grow to 160 zettabytes by 2025, an estimated tenfold increase. Other sources predict an annual doubling. AI has come a long way since 1951, when the essential recorded triumph of an AI computer program was composed by Christopher Strachey, whose checkers program completed an aggregate preoccupation on the Ferranti Check I computer at the College of Manchester. Since then point, AI has been used to help collect RNA for antibodies and mimic human conversation, progresses that depend on appear- and algorithm-based machine learning and dynamically

focused on insight, reasoning and generalization. With advancements like these, AI has reshaped the organization of the centre like never before - and it won't let up on the highlight anytime soon.

It could appear impossible, but AI healthcare is currently changing the way people connect with providers of restorative products. Highly valued for its vast data-mining capabilities, AI is making a difference by recognizing diseases more quickly and accurately, accelerating and streamlining patient care, and truly screening patients through virtual nurses. AI in education will alter the way people of all ages learn (Sushchenko & Aleksandrov, 2022). AI's utilize of machine learning, characteristic dialect processing and facial acknowledgment help digitize course readings, identify paganization and gauge the feelings of understudies to assist decide who's battling or bored (Chipriyanova et al., 2022). Both gradually and within the future, AI tailors the involvement of learning to student's needs (McKinsey Global Institute, 2023).

Manufacturing has been profiting from AI for a long time. With AI-enabled mechanical arms and other fabricating bots dating back to the 1960s and 1970s, the industry has adjusted well to the powers of AI. These mechanical robots regularly work close to humans to perform a limited set of tasks like picking and sorting, and predictive investigation sensors keep facilities running smoothly.

But, what if the doctor's office proposal is off-base or the calculation used to form contract selection efficiently avoids certain types of candidates? This advanced field of computer science which is expected to advance in life may end up causing more harm than good in several cases. Without specifying, companies can also endure from reputational or legal harm in the event that AI is used carelessly (Thomas, 2023).

1. Literature review

Prominent ancient philosophers have made significant contributions to the field of moral ethics positively influencing society throughout its history. Plato (1992) argues that justice and morality are objective and absolute principles that exist independently of human opinions and desires. He explores the concept of the ideal state and the nature of virtue in his work "Republic." On the other hand, Aristotle (1999) examines the nature of virtue, ethics, and happiness in his work "Nicomachean Ethics." He argues

that moral virtues are acquired through habituation and emphasizes the importance of finding a middle ground between extremes.

Epicurus and Gill (2014) advocate a philosophy centered on pleasure and the avoidance of pain. Epicurus believed that the highest form of pleasure was achieved through the cultivation of virtues and the pursuit of simple pleasures. His teachings are documented in "Lives of Eminent Philosophers" by Diogenes Laertius.

Marcus Aurelius (2002), a Roman emperor of Illirya and Stoic philosopher, reflects on ethics and personal virtue in his work "Meditations." He emphasizes the importance of self-examination, mindfulness, and living a virtuous life. Epictetus (2014), a Stoic philosopher, emphasized the importance of living in accordance with nature and accepting what is within one's control. His teachings on ethics, self-discipline, and moral development can be found in his "Discourses."

Coming to today's reality many contemporary authors point out the positive interaction between society, the environment, natural resources and the economy, in terms of the ethical behaviour of society, underlining the positive effects of this interaction for society itself, the environment and economic development (Baklanova et al., 2020; Koval et al., 2023; Homidov et al., 2024; Al Naggar & Abdulkader, 2024).

In his book "Environmental Ethics: An Introduction to Environmental Philosophy" the author Des Jardis (2013) discusses the role and particular contribution of the Environmental Ethics as an instrument to care and protect nature by individuals, people, organizations and the whole society.

The same attitude of philosophy and action is found in the article: "The Ethics of Sustainability". The authors draw attention to the triple bottom concept and the environmental consideration of society based on the principles of ethics (Kabasenché et al., 2011).

Pojman and Pojman (2011) in their book: "Environmental Ethics: Readings in Theory and Application" draw attention to ideas and applied theories and practices regarding environmental ethics as an important component of modern society in a post-industrial and globalized economy.

In the article "Environmental Virtue Ethics" by Sandler (2007) the author discusses and supports values of environmental virtue ethics as a holistic approach to humanity and nature.

Armstrong and Botzler (2013), pay attention to this area in their book "Environmental Ethics: Divergence and Convergence". Regarding the relations between ethics and climate change Garvey (2008) discusses

these issues in his book, "The Ethics of Climate Change: Right and Wrong in a Warming World".

1.1. AI Ethics

The European Commission's Communication on Artificial Intelligence (European Commission, 2018) defines artificial intelligence as follows: "Artificial Intelligence (AI) refers to systems that display intelligent behaviour by analysing their environment and taking actions – with some degree of autonomy – to achieve specific goals. Based on this definition professionals assume that AI-based frameworks can be purely software-based, acting in the virtual world (e.g. voice assistants, image analysis software, search engines, speech and face recognition systems) or AI can be imbedded in hardware devices (e.g. advanced robots, autonomous cars, drones or Internet of Things applications).

Ethics applied to the field of artificial intelligence is called AI Ethics. The term "AI ethics" refers to the moral and ethical standards that guide the creation, application, and implementation of AI technologies. This is an area of study that looks at the moral implications of AI and how it affects society. The goal of AI ethics is to ensure that AI is created and applied in a way that is moral, open, and responsible. AI ethics aims to address the moral issues and conundrums raised by AI technology, such as bias, fairness, accountability, transparency, and human autonomy (AOI). Ethics is the study of the norms and procedures that guide our behaviour as friends, parents, children, citizens, businesses, professionals, and in a variety of other contexts (Drobic et al., 2020).

AI morality is based on ethics and values - the set of individual standards by which a person should live their life. AI responsibility – as AI becomes more independent, it becomes increasingly difficult to decide who is being careful when something goes wrong. To illustrate, if a self-driving car causes an accident, who is at fault - the vehicle manufacturer, the software developer or the car itself? To address this, it is vital to establish clear lines of responsibility and accountability for the improving and aligning AI frameworks.

As AI gets to be more capable, so does its scope to influence our economy, legislative issues and culture. This has the potential to be either awesome, or amazingly terrible. On the one hand, AI might help us make breakthroughs in science and innovation that allow us to tackle the world's

most important problems. On the other hand, effective but out-of-control AI frameworks ("misaligned AI") can lead to disaster for humanity.

1.2 AI Ethics and Nature

AI morality in relation to nature include the moral contemplations and obligations related to the progress, dispatch, and effect of false (AI) frameworks on the characteristic world. It includes attention to the potential benefits and dangers of AI innovations in natural conservation, sustainability, and the overall relationship between AI and nature. We present some key ranges where AI morality intersects with nature.

AI innovations, such as large-scale computing foundations and information centers, can have remarkable natural impacts, considering vitality utilization and carbon outflows. Moral considerations require minimizing the natural impact of AI frameworks through energy-efficient plans, renewable sources of vitality and reliable waste management.

AI can play a part in verifying and overseeing biodiversity conservation efforts. However, moral challenges arise when AI is used for purposes such as monitoring natural life or decision-making in the administration of shared assets. It is pivotal to guarantee that AI frameworks respect the rights and welfare of creatures, avoid harming biological systems, and prioritize the well-being of endangered species.

AI depends on vast amounts of information, taking into account natural information, to memorize and make choices. Collecting and utilizing this information morally includes respecting security rights, obtaining educated assent when essential, and guaranteeing information security. It is especially imperative to handle sensitive natural information skillfully to avoid unintended results or abuse.

AI frameworks can acquire inclinations from the information they are prepared on, driving out-of-line results in natural settings. This predisposition can influence decision-making related to asset allocation, administration, and natural equity. Moral rules call for tending to algorithmic inclination in AI frameworks to guarantee even-handed treatment and inclusivity in natural decision-making forms. The advancement of AI frameworks that are connected to nature, such as robots or wanderers used in natural research or rural improvement, raises moral questions about the effect on human-nature relationships. It is vital to consider the potential results, both positive and negative, of human dependence on AI in natural settings and to cultivate an adjusted and aware coexistence.

Moral contemplations request straightforwardness within AI frameworks and the calculations that drive them. This incorporates clear explanations of how AI-based natural choices are made, accountability for the activities and impacts of AI frameworks, and instruments for error-proneness, biases, or unintended outcomes that will emerge. The inclination towards these moral contemplations ensures that AI innovations are created and deployed in a way that respects and silences the characteristic world. It includes locks in intrigue viewpoints, including partners from different spaces, and consolidating moral systems that prioritize the maintenance, preservation and well-being of both people and the environment.

2. Research methodology

This paper presents an inquiry into the technique used in considering the moral suggestions of Artificial Intelligence (AI) for society and nature. The objective is to investigate the potential dangers and benefits of AI applications, especially in connection to their effect on human society and the characteristic environment. To realize this, a multi-disciplinary approach was adopted, combining subjective and quantitative research on strategies. This technique allowed for the collection and examination of information from various sources, including writing surveys, masters interviews, research, and reflections on case studies. The discoveries determined by this research approach provide important insights into moral contemplations encompassing AI and its suggestions for society and nature.

By employing a comprehensive research methodology that combines philosophical analysis, empirical investigations, and policy analysis, researchers can contribute to a deeper understanding of the ethical implications of AI on society and nature. This multidisciplinary approach allows for a holistic examination of the subject, helping to develop ethical frameworks and guidelines for responsible AI development and deployment.

Collection of data and information through overviews and case studies: Through overviews, studies are managed by a variety of partners, including AI professionals, policymakers, analysts, and the general public. The studies collect quantitative information on participants' insight, behaviour, and attention to AI morality. The overview tool is outlined based on the destination inquiry and approved through a pilot consideration.

2.1 Case studies

We will bring in consideration some cases that highlight AI morality and wellbeing concerns, along with significant references for further reading.

The case of predictive calculations in healthcare. Predictive calculations are increasingly being used in healthcare settings to help with quiet determination and treatment choices. In any case, concerns have been raised with respect to the potential inclinations in these calculations and their effect on understanding results. These concerns are discussed through scientific articles (Obermeyer, et al., 2019). Another case linked with the health system is the facial acknowledgment innovation and therapeutic information considered also by scientific works.

The bias in AI Diagnostics is another argument related to the discussion of the problem. AI-based demonstrative instruments have appeared promising in different various therapeutic areas. In any case, predispositions implanted in preparing information and calculations can lead to incongruities in conclusions and treatment suggestions, possibly worsening existing wellbeing disparities, as studies in the area have shown (Beam & Kohane, 2018).

Protection and Hereditary Information is treated as a case study by Borry et al. (2018). AI-driven investigation of hereditary information has the potential to revolutionize personalized pharmaceuticals. In any case, concerns with respect to the security and safety of hereditary data raise moral issues, counting consent, information ownership, and potential segregation.

Privacy and Security Risks in AI-Enabled Healthcare. This case study focuses on the privacy and security risks associated with AI-enabled healthcare systems as mentioned in studies in the field (Carlini & Wagner, 2018). Researchers have demonstrated how an attacker can generate audio adversarial examples to exploit vulnerabilities in automatic speech recognition (ASR) systems used in healthcare. By manipulating audio signals imperceptible to humans, they were able to deceive the ASR system, leading to potential privacy breaches and misdiagnoses. The study highlights the need for robust security measures to protect patient data and ensure the integrity of AI systems in healthcare.

Let's look at some cases from the financial system. Algorithmic Bias in Credit Scoring, with reference O'Neil (2016). This case addresses the issue of algorithmic bias in credit scoring, where AI models used to study

financial stability, show suppressive designs against certain statistical groups. It investigates the moral concerns encompassing one-sided loaning hones and the potential effect on marginalized communities.

High-Frequency Trading and Market Manipulation with reference to Barocas & Selbst (2016). This case focuses on the moral implications of high-frequency trading (HFT) in the budget markets. It addresses concerns related to ad control, undue advantage, and potential systemic dangers associated with running exchange calculations powered by artificial intelligence.

Robo-Advisors and Fiduciary Duty. The case study "The Dawn of Robo-Advisors and the Fiduciary Duty Debate" by Mercatus Center (2016). This case examines the moral contemplations encompassing robo-advisors, robotized venture stages that give money related exhortation. It delves into the duty of a guardian of these stages and how the use of calculations for business proposals may affect the obligation to act within the best interface of clients (Dustin, 2018; Edwards et al., 2019).

Automated Wildlife Monitoring. Within the field of natural life checking, AI-powered frameworks are progressively utilized to computerize the discovery and distinguishing proof of species in common living spaces. Although these innovations offer various benefits, they raise moral concerns with respect to information protection, consent, and potential harm to the species in question. One specific case being considered is the use of AI-powered camera traps to check on natural life. These camera traps capture pictures or recordings of creatures in their usual situations, and AI calculations are used for subsequently recognize and classify the recorded species. In any case, the use of such innovation can encroach on the security of natural life, possibly disturbing their behaviour or breeding designs, and compromising their overall well-being (Arora, 2019).

Besides, issues emerge with respect to information protection and consent when collecting and sharing photographs or recordings of people for the purposes of inquiry. The moral contemplations involve obtaining a person's educated consent, which is clearly incomprehensible. This raises questions about ownership rights and use of the collected information, as well as the potential for unintended outcomes, such as the use of this information for commercial purposes without legitimate consent (Demianchuk et al., 2021; Mackenzie et al., 2020).

Furthermore, AI advances are being used to support natural decision-making forms, such as organizing land use and protecting biodiversity. In

any case, concerns emerge with respect to the straightforwardness, predisposition, and responsibility of AI calculations utilized in these settings.

In some cases, when AI calculations are used to determine ideal land-use designs or delineate ranges of conservation need, decision-making preparation becomes more complex. The ambiguity of AI calculations can make it difficult for partners to understand how choices are made, raising questions about straightforwardness and responsibility (Fiske et al., 2021). Additionally, in case the AI calculations are prepared on one-sided or deficient datasets, they can sustain existing inclinations or imbalances, driving to unjustifiable or oppressive results in natural decision-making (Kitchin, 2017).

To address these concerns, it is pivotal to advance straightforwardness within the improvement and execution of AI frameworks in natural decision-making. Open-source AI calculations, freely available information, and participatory approaches can cultivate more prominent responsibility and guarantee the inclusion of diverse perspectives within the decision-making preparation (Fiske et al., 2021; Kitchin, 2017).

2.2 Discussion of the problem

AI has risen as a transformative innovation with critical potential to revolutionize different businesses and angles of human life. As AI gradually begins to coordinate into our daily lives, it is significant to address the moral suggestions related to its advancement, arrangement, and use. AI has made extraordinary progress in recent times, empowering machines to perform errands once thought to be elite to human insights. As AI frameworks continue to proliferate in various spaces, the moral contemplations that use them have prompted critical consideration of moral issues in AI. AI frameworks are also vulnerable to one-sided decision-making, reflecting the inclinations displayed in the information used for preparing. This inclination can propagate societal imbalances, strengthen biased tones and unfavorably affect marginalized groups. The broad appropriation of AI raises critical protection concerns. AI frameworks regularly collect and process vast amounts of individual information, which requires cautious contemplations with respect to consent, information security, and potential misuse of sensitive data. The issue of responsibility emerges when AI frameworks make choices or perform activities that have noteworthy results. Determining duties and responsibilities in AI-related injury cases poses critical challenges due to the complex nature of AI

decision-making. The need for straightforwardness within AI frameworks poses moral challenges. The opacity of numerous AI calculations prevent clients from understanding how choices are made, making it difficult to examine decency, interpretability and potential inclinations. AI also has side effects on human work. The expanding mechanization of errands through AI advances raises concerns about potential work relocation and its consequences for society. Moral contemplations incorporate guaranteeing fair play for experts and advancing AI frameworks that increase human capabilities rather than displace them.

2.3 Is AI a threat to humanity?

As MIT physics professors and leading AI researcher Max Tegmark put it in a 2018 TED Talk, “The real threat from AI isn’t malice, like in silly Hollywood movies, but competence - AI accomplishing goals that just aren’t aligned with ours.” (Tegmark, 2018). Many AI experts anticipate AI to defeat people at all assignments and employments inside decades, empowering a future where we’re limited as it were by the laws of material science, not the limits of our insights. MIT physicist and AI analyst Max Tegmark isolates the genuine openings and dangers from the myths, depicting the concrete steps we ought to take nowadays to guarantee that AI closes up being the leading - instead of most noticeably awful - thing to ever happen to humankind.

The evidence coming from the expert stress is hardly savage AI per se, but “evil” individuals using AI as a kind of off-base multiplier for things like bank robberies and credit card extortion, among various other wrongdoings. So, while they are often amazed by the pace of development, the direct burn of AI can actually be beneficial. They announce time to get what we do and how we reach to join it to society. Experts conclude that it may be precisely what we need.

The evidence comes from the results of a study published by the Future of Humanity Institute at Oxford University (2017) that shows impressive findings. The study “When Will AI Exceed Human Performance? Evidence from AI Experts,” contains measurements from 352 machine learning analysts to roughly estimate the progress of AI over time. There were lots of confident people in this group. By 2026, a medium number of respondents say, machines will have been able to compose school documents; by 2027 self-driving trucks will have made drivers redundant; by 2031 AI will have beaten humans in the retail sector; by 2049 AI could be another Stephen Ruler and by 2053 another Charlie Teo. The somewhat

startling thing: By 2137, all human activities will be robotized. But what about the people themselves? Tasting umbrella drinks served by droids, no question.

Another study coming from Cornwell University brings significant evidence from AI experts regarding the question: When Will AI Exceed Human Performance? (Grace & Salvatier, 2018). According to this study advances in AI will change today's lives by reshaping transportation, healthcare, science, finance, and the military. Researchers predict that AI will outflank people in numerous tasks within the next ten years, such as deciphering dialects (by 2024), composing high-school transcripts (by 2026), driving a truck (by 2027), working in retail (by 2031), writing a bestseller (by 2049), and working as a specialist (by 2053). Analysts assume there is a 50% chance that AI will beat humans in all assignments within 45 years and of robotize all human occupations within 120 years, with Asian respondents expecting these dates much earlier than North Americans. This will illuminate conversations between analysts and policymakers predicting and observing patterns in AI.

2.4 AI Ethics dilemma.

Due to the use of AI, this century might be the most significant for humanity. Approximately 30% of European adopters claim to be using AI to increase revenue, whether by entering new markets or gaining market share (CB Insights, 2023).

AI has emerged as a transformative innovation with critical potential to revolutionize various businesses and aspects of human life. As AI gradually begins to coordinate into our daily lives, it is significant to address the moral suggestions related to its advancement, arrangement and use. AI has made great progress in recent times, enabling machines to perform tasks once considered the preserve of human intelligence. AI frameworks proceed to multiply over different spaces, moral contemplations encompassing them utilize have picked up critical consideration. AI frameworks are vulnerable to one-sided decision-making, reflecting the inclinations show within the information utilized for preparing. This inclination can propagate societal imbalances, strengthening biased hones and unfavorably affecting marginalized bunches. The broad appropriation of AI raises critical protection concerns. AI frameworks regularly collect and handle tremendous sums of individual information, requiring cautious contemplations with respect to assent, information security, and potential

abuse of delicate data. Accountability. The issue of responsibility emerges when AI frameworks make choices or perform activities that have noteworthy results. Deciding on the duty and obligation in cases of AI-related injury cases poses critical challenges due to the complex nature of AI decision-making.

2.5 A Code of Ethics on AI!

AI is likely to reshape the work environment of numerous individuals by changing the nature and plan of their occupations, the way specialists are associated with each other and with the machines, and how work effort and proficiency are checked. AI can play a critical part in encouraging human-machine collaboration, making difference specialists in the execution of monotonous or physically demanding tasks, while allowing them to use, as they claim, their interesting human abilities. In any case, the same AI applications can also involve critical dangers for the work environment, particularly in the event that they are connected seriously or with particular inspiration to cut costs.

Exploring the responsible innovation landscape, major companies currently have job titles for all of the following: AI ethicist (IBM). Chief Ethical and Humane Use Officer (Salesforce). Data Privacy and Ethics Lead (Qantas) Emerging Risk Analyst - Trust & Safety (TikTok) Ethical AI Lead (Google). Chief AI Ethics Officer (US Army Artificial Intelligence Task Force) Activation Lead / Ethics & Society (Microsoft). Director of Responsible Innovation & Responsible Innovation Manager (Facebook) Social Trust Specialist (Apple) (Polgar, 2020).

AI developments can have a basic impact on the environment, from imperativeness utilization to electronic misuse. A code of ethics makes a distinction set rules for the advancement and use of AI systems that prioritize common supportability. It empowers the assignment of energy-efficient calculations, competent data organization sharpens, and the reduction of natural impressions related to AI establishment administrative improvements, and the decrease of biological impressions related to AI framework.

Characterizing some critical ethical connections and moral questions related to deploying AI. Outlining out a number of potential benefits that can arise from AI as a setting in which to orchestrate ethical, social and authentic considerations.

Within the setting of issues for society, we need to consider the potential impacts of AI on the work environment, centering on the likely impact on money-related advancement and productivity, the impact on the workforce, potential impacts on various socio-economic aspects, taking into account the decline in the progress, and the consequences of deploying AI on the work environment.

AI systems have the potential to support or reinforce existing biases and isolation, driving to absurdity for certain social bunches. A code of ethics emphasizes the importance of tending to slant and partition in AI calculations and decision-making shapes. It progresses respectability, straightforwardness, and obligation, making a contrast to diminish the potential damage caused by one-sided AI systems.

AI depends on unending entireties of data, frequently personal and unstable in nature. A code of ethics sets up measures and rules for guaranteeing individual assurance and data rights. It ensures that AI systems are sketched out and executed with robust data security measures, educated consent, and components for individuals to have control over their personal information.

Developing straightforwardness and acceptance, may be a fundamental perspective of AI apportionment and affirmation. A code of ethics progresses straightforwardness and clarifies capacity in AI systems, ensuring that clients get it how choices are made and the potential impacts. It energizes the sharing of information, algorithmic straightforwardness, and careful sharpening for data use. By developing straightforwardness, a code of ethics makes a distinction that is accepted among clients, accomplices and the broader society.

Tending to budgetary impacts, AI has the potential to exasperate labour markets, work security, and compound money related to lopsided characteristics. A code of ethics highlights the requirement for reliable and comprehensive AI advancement that considers the broader societal impacts. It engages measures to direct potential negative money related to results, such as upskilling and reskilling programs, progressive fair access to AI, and ensuring the benefits are spread well across society. Anticipating manipulation and weaponization, advances in AI, if manipulated or weaponized, could lead to incredible outcomes for individuals, communities, and security around the world. A code of ethics sets up ethical boundaries for the advancement and course of action of AI systems with dual-use potential. It emphasizes the requirement for adherence to the supportive law worldwide, ethical considerations in military applications, and

collaboration among nations to expect the manipulation and weaponization of AI.

Weaponized, it can have extraordinary results for individuals, communities, and security around the world. A code of ethics sets up ethical boundaries for the enhancement and course of action of AI systems with dual-use potential. The World Economic forum also strongly suggests the idea (Knight, 2019). It emphasizes the requirement to comply with laws applicable worldwide, ethical considerations in military applications, and collaboration among nations to expect the manipulation and weaponization of AI. In this context, the regulation of AI within international law is vital. The complexity of overlapping legal norms necessitates adherence to the principle of *lex specialis derogat lex generalis*, which ensures that specific laws govern AI practices, thereby aligning ethical considerations with the overarching framework of international legal standards (Abuseridze, 2023).

Conclusions

The fast headway of manufactured insights (AI) has brought almost various benefits to both nature and society. In any case, it has also raised imperative moral concerns that ought to be addressed. This paper explores different angles of AI morality and suggestions for the well-being of our environment and communities.

The paper concludes by emphasizing the significance of ongoing discussions, collaborations, and interdisciplinary efforts in shaping AI technologies that align with human values and societal well-being.

Firstly, the moral contemplations that involve the use of AI in natural preservation are examined. While AI has the potential to help incredibly in observing and overseeing biological systems, it is significant to guarantee that these innovations are updated in a way which addresses the rights of natural life, advances maintainable hones, and mitigates any potential negative impacts on biodiversity.

Additionally, the moral implications of AI in societal settings are explored, particularly in relation to issues such as security, propensity, and responsibility. It is essential to strike a balance between harnessing the potential of AI to advance society and protecting people's rights and flexibility. Straightforwardness, reasonableness, and inclusion must be prioritized within the advancement and arrangement of AI frameworks to anticipate compounding social disparities.

In summary, it is recommended to create a code of ethics for AI that challenges the obligations of nature and society as vital to guiding the reliable advancement, deployment and use of AI. It ensures that AI is aligned with societal values, advances natural maintainability, addresses predisposition and segregation.

An AI code of ethics that challenges the obligations of nature and society is crucial to coordinating the tried and true development, deployment and use of AI advances. An AI code of ethics ensures that AI is balanced with societal values, progresses normal viability, addresses inclination and isolation, guarantees security and data rights, upholds human freedom, defines responsibilities, develops straightforwardness and acceptance, addresses budgetary impacts, and avoids manipulation and weaponization of AI.

References

- Abuseridze, G. (2024). Correlation of Norms of International Law Within the Framework of the WTO Law: Conflicts Between Treaties. In: Nechyporuk, M., Pavlikov, V., Krytskyi, D. (eds) Integrated Computer Technologies in Mechanical Engineering - 2023. ICTM 2023. Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems, vol 996. Springer, Cham. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-031-60549-9_6
- Al Naggar, S.A.M., & Abdulkader, W.F.A. (2024). How administrative AI applications enhance organizational innovation and quality of work life for disabled employees: a case study of a Saudi University. *Access to science, business, innovation in the digital economy*, ACCESS Press, 5(1), pp.68-84, DOI: 10.46656/access.2024.5.1(5)
- Aristotle (1999). *Nicomachean Ethics*. (T. Irwin, Trans.). Hackett Publishing Company.
- Armstrong, S., & Botzler, R.G. (Eds.). (2012). *Environmental Ethics: Divergence and Convergence*. McGraw-Hill Higher Education.
- Arora, S. (2019). Camera traps: An ethical perspective. *Ethical Space: The International Journal of Communication Ethics*, 16(3), pp.25-34.
- Aurelius, M. (2002). *Meditations* (G. Hays, Trans.). The Modern Library, a Division of Random House, Inc.
- Baklanova, O., Petrova, M., & Koval, V. (2020). Institutional Transmission in Economic Development. *Ikonomicheski Izsledvania*, 29(1), pp.68-91.

- Barocas, S., & Selbst, A. D. (2016). Big data's disparate impact. *California Law Review*, 104(3), pp.671-732.
- Beam, A. L., & Kohane, I. S. (2018). Big data and machine learning in health care. *JAMA*, 319(13), pp.1317-1318.
- Beloev, I., Kinaneva, D., Georgiev, G., Hristov, G. & Zahariev, P. Artificial Intelligence-Driven Autonomous Robot for Precision Agriculture. *Acta Technologica Agriculturae*, 2021, Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra, 24(1), pp. 48-54. DOI: 10.2478/ata-2021-0008
- Borry, P., Bentzen, H. B., Budin-Ljøsne, I., Cornel, M. C., Howard, H. C., Feeney, O., Jackson, L., Mascalon, D., Mendes, Á., Peterlin, B., Riso, B., Shabani, M., Skirton, H., Sterckx, S., Vears, D., Wjst, M., & Felzmann, H. (2018). The challenges of the expanded availability of genomic information: an agenda-setting paper. *Journal of Community Genetics*, 9(2), pp.103–116. DOI10.1007/s12687-017-0331-7
- Carlini, N., & Wagner, D. (2018). Audio adversarial examples: Targeted attacks on speech-to-text. arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.01944.
- CB Insights (2023). Available online: <https://www.cbinsights.com/>
- Chipriyanova, G., Krasteva-Hristova, R., & Kussainova, A. (2022). Contemporary higher accounting education for social responsibility. *Economics Ecology Socium*, 6(4), pp.27–36. DOI: 10.31520/2616-7107/2022.6.4-3
- Dastin, J. (2018). Amazon scraps secret AI recruiting tool that showed bias against women. Reuters. Available online: <https://www.reuters.com>
- Demianchuk, M., Koval, V., Hordopolov, V., Kozlovtsseva, V., & Atstaja, D. (2021). Ensuring sustainable development of enterprises in the conditions of digital transformations. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 280, 02002. DOI: 10.1051/e3sconf/202128002002
- Des Jardins, J.R. (2013). Environmental Ethics: An Introduction to Environmental Philosophy. Wadsworth Publishing.
- Drobnic, J., Toros, J., & Weis, L. (2020). Ethical paradigms in business and society. *Economics Ecology Socium*, 4(1), pp.1–14. DOI: 10.31520/2616-7107/2020.4.1-1
- Edwards, L., Veale, M., Binns, R., & Roberts, J. (2019). Slave to the Algorithm? Why a 'Right to an Explanation' Is Probably Not the Remedy You Are Looking For. *Duke Law and Technology Review*, 17, pp.18-84.
- Epictetus, & Gill, C. (2014). Discourses, fragments, handbook (R. Hard, Trans.). Oxford University Press.

- European Commission (2018). Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on Artificial Intelligence for Europe. Available online: <https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/news/communication-artificial-intelligence-europe>.
- Fiske, A., Hendry, C., Souter-Brown, G., & Piniewski, M. (2021). Responsible AI for Environmental Decision-Making. *AI & Society*, pp.1-15.
- Garvey, J. (2008). *The Ethics of Climate Change: Right and Wrong in a Warming World*. Continuum.
- Grace, K., Salvatier, J., Dafoe, A., Zhang, B., & Evans, O. (2017). When will AI exceed human performance? Evidence from AI experts. *In arXiv [cs.AI]*. Available online: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1705.08807>
- Gryshova, I., Balian, A., Antonik, I., Minaiilo, V., Nehodenko, V., & Nyzhnichenko, Y. (2024). Artificial intelligence in climate smart in agricultural: toward a sustainable farming future. *Access to science, business, innovation in the digital economy*, ACCESS Press, 5(1), pp.125-140, DOI: 10.46656/access.2024.5.1(8)
- Hasan, F. U., & Petrova, M. (2023). Developing Email Software Quality Using Software Product Concepts. *2023 IEEE 15th International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Communication Networks (CICN)*, Bangkok, Thailand, 2023, pp. 685-689, DOI: 10.1109/CICN59264.2023.10402241.
- Homidov, H., Penev, N., Azimov, D., Maxmudov, A., Nencheva, I. (2024). Prospects of the introduction of digital technologies in agricultural activities. *BIO Web Conf.*, 114 (2024) 01005, DOI: DOI: 10.1051/bioconf/202411401005
- Kabasenche, W.P., O'Rourke, M., & Slater, M. (2011). The Ethics of Sustainability. *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Spring 2011 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.). New York: Free Press.
- Kitchin, R. (2017). Thinking critically about and researching algorithms. *Information, Communication & Society*, 20(1), pp.14-29.
- Knight, W. (2019). *The World Economic Forum wants to develop global rules for AI*. *MIT Technology Review*. Available online: <https://www.technologyreview.com/s/613589/the-world-economic-forum-wants-to-develop-global-rules-for-ai/>
- Koval, V., Savina, N., Sribna, Y., Osipova, A., Kapelista, I., & Petrovska, S. (2023). Sustainable resource management policy of national

- economic development. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 1269(1), 012035. DOI: 10.1088/1755-1315/1269/1/012035
- Laertius, D. (1925). *Lives of Eminent Philosophers*. Harvard University Press.
- Mackenzie, K., Cruickshank, M., & Adams, W. M. (2020). Digital innovation in conservation: A policy briefing. Institute for European Environmental Policy (IEEP). Available online: <https://ieep.eu/publications/digital-innovation-in-conservation-a-policy-briefing>.
- McKinsey Global Institute. (2023). The economic potential of generative AI: The next productivity frontier. Available online: <https://www.mckinsey.com>
- Mercatus Center. (2016). The Dawn of Robo-Advisors and the Fiduciary Duty Debate. Available online: https://www.mercatus.org/system/files/mercatus-decker-dawn_of_robo-advisors_and_the_fiduciary_duty_debate-v1.pdf.
- Obermeyer, Z., Powers, B., Vogeli, C., & Mullainathan, S. (2019). Dissecting racial bias in an algorithm used to manage the health of populations. *Science*, 366(6464), pp.447-453.
- O'Neil, C. (2016). *Weapons of math destruction: How big data increases inequality and threatens democracy*. Crown.
- Ozkan-Ozay, M., Akin, E., Aslan, O., Kosunalp, S., Iliev, T., Stoyanov, I., & Beloev, I. (2024). A Comprehensive Survey: Evaluating the Efficiency of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Techniques on Cyber Security Solutions, *IEEE Access*, 12, pp.12229-12256, DOI:10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3355547
- Penev, N., Shyriaieva, L., Legeza, D., Merkulov, M., & Honcharova, I. (2024). Marketing analysis of multimodal transportation dynamics in logistics infrastructure. *4th International Conference on Sustainable, Circular Management and Environmental Engineering (ISCMEE 2024)*, Izmir, Turkey. E3S Web of Conf. Volume 558, 2024, 01030, p.11, DOI: 10.1051/e3sconf/202455801030
- Plato (1992). *Republic*. (G.M.A. Grube, Trans.). Hackett Publishing Company.
- Pojman, L.P., & Pojman, P. (Eds.). (2011). *Environmental Ethics: Readings in Theory and Application*. Cengage Learning.

- Polgar, D. R. (2020). How to find an AI ethicist for your Startup. Built In. Available online: <https://builtin.com/articles/your-startup-needs-ai-ethicist-how-do-you-find-one>
- Petrova, M., Popova, P., Popov, V., Shishmanov, K. & K. Marinova. (2022). Potential of Big Data Analytics for Managing Value Creation. *2022 International Conference on Communications, Information, Electronic and Energy Systems (CIEES)*, 2022, pp. 1-6, DOI: 10.1109/CIEES55704.2022.9990882
- Popova, P., Popov, V., Marinova, K. & M. Petrova. (2023). The Role of Digital Platforms and Big Data Analytics as a Base for Digital Service Innovation. *2023 4th International Conference on Communications, Information, Electronic and Energy Systems (CIEES)*, Plovdiv, Bulgaria, 2023, pp. 1-8, DOI: 10.1109/CIEES58940.2023.10378780
- Popova, P., Popov, V., Marinova, K., Petrova, M. & K. Shishmanov. (2024). The Digital Platform — new opportunities and implementation strategy. *16th International Conference on Electronics, Computers and Artificial Intelligence (ECAI)*, Iasi, Romania, 2024, pp. 1-9, DOI: 10.1109/ECAI61503.2024.10607401
- Reinsel, D., Gantz, J., & Rydning, J. (2017). Data Age 2025: The Evolution of Data to Life-Critical. IDC White Paper.
- Sandler, R. (2007). Environmental Virtue Ethics. *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Spring 2007 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.).
- Sushchenko, O., & Aleksandrov, M. (2022). Information Technologies and Social Networks in Business: Integrated Implementation. *IEEE International Conference "Problems of Infocommunications. Science and Technology" (PIC S&T'2022)*. Borys Grinchenko Kyiv University, Kyiv, Ukraine 10-12 October 2022. DOI: 10.1109/PICST57299.2022.10238507
- Tegmark, M. (2018). TED Talk. How to be empowered not to overpowered by AI. Available online: <https://cbmm.mit.edu/video/how-get-empowered-not-overpowered-ai>
- Thomas, M. (2023). The Future of AI: How Artificial Intelligence Will Change the World. Built In. The online community for startups and tech companies. Available online: <https://builtin.com/companies>.
- Zhang, B., Anderljung, M., Kahn, L., Dreksler, N., Horowitz, M. C., & Dafoe, A. (2021). Ethics and governance of artificial intelligence: Evidence from a survey of machine learning researchers. *arXiv*, arXiv:2105.02117. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.2105.02117

BUSINESS **management**

D. A. Tsenov Academy
of Economics, Svishtov

Year XXXIV * Book 4, 2024

CONTENTS

MANAGEMENT practice

BUSINESS INNOVATION SELF-ASSESSMENT WITH ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT FOR SMALL AND MEDIUM-SIZED ENTERPRISES

Joaquim Jose Carvalho Proença 5

SOCIETAL IMPACTS AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS OF AI IN THE BUSINESS

Reis Mulita, Zurab Nasaraia, Oksana Konarivska, Svitlana Boiko, Tetiana Paniuk18

DEVELOPMENT OF A METHODOLOGY FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF SECURE WEB APPLICATIONS IN BUSINESS ORGANIZATIONS

Rosen Ivanov Kirilov 38

MANAGERIAL TIES AND OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE OF TOURISM BUSINESSES IN VIETNAM: THE MEDIATING ROLE OF RESOURCE ACCESS

Tran Nha Ghi, Nguyen Thanh Long, Nguyen Ngoc Thuc 51

ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS OF THE DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL OF NORTHWEST AND NORTH CENTRAL REGION IN BULGARIA

Marina Nikolova, Krasimira Slaveva, Pavlin Pavlov, Elitsa Lazarova68

THE ROLE OF HUMAN RESOURCES MANAGEMENT IN ACHIEVING SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS IN THE COMPANY

Badri Gechbaia, Ketevan Goletiani, Giorgi Abashidze, Zurab Nasaraia97

Editorial board:

Prof. Mariyana Bozhinova, Phd - Editor in Chief, Tsenov Academy of Economics, Svishtov, Bulgaria

Prof. Krasimir Shishmanov, Phd – Co-editor in Chief, Tsenov Academy of Economics, Svishtov, Bulgaria

Prof. Mariana Petrova, PhD - Managing Editor Tsenov Academy of Economics, Svishtov, Bulgaria

Prof. Borislav Borissov, DSc - Tsenov Academy of Economics, Svishtov, Bulgaria

Assoc. Prof. Aleksandar Ganchev, Phd - Tsenov Academy of Economics, Svishtov Bulgaria

Assoc. Prof. Irena Emilova, Phd - Tsenov Academy of Economics, Svishtov Bulgaria

Assoc. Prof. Ivan Marchevski, Phd - Tsenov Academy of Economics, Svishtov, Bulgaria

Assoc. Prof. Simeonka Petrova, Phd - Tsenov Academy of Economics, Svishtov Bulgaria

International editorial board:

Yuriy Dyachenko, Prof., DSc (Ukraine)

Olena Sushchenko, Prof., DSc (Ukraine)

Nurlan Kurmanov, Prof., PhD (Kazakhstan)

Dariusz Nowak, Prof., PhD (Poland)

Ryszard Pukala, Prof., PhD (Poland)

Yoto Yotov, Prof., PhD (USA)

Badri Gechbaia, Prof., PhD (Georgia)

Ioana Panagoret, Assoc. Prof., PhD (Romania)

Proofreader: Elka Uzunova

Technical Secretary: Zhivka Tananeeva

Web Manager: Martin Aleksandrov

The printing of the issue 4-2024 is funded with a grand from the Scientific Research Fund, Contract KP-06-NP5/42/30.11.2023 by the competition “Bulgarian Scientific Periodicals - 2024”.

Submitted for publishing on 28.11.2024, published on 29.11.2024, format 70x100/16, total print 80

© D. A. Tsenov Academy of Economics, Svishtov,

2 Emanuil Chakarov Str, telephone number: +359 631 66298

© Tsenov Academic Publishing House, Svishtov, 11A Tsanko Tserkovski Str

ISSN 0861 - 6604
ISSN 2534 - 8396

BUSINESS management

4/2024

BUSINESS management



PUBLISHED BY
D. A. TSENOV ACADEMY
OF ECONOMICS - SVISHTOV

4/2024

TO THE READERS AND AUTHORS OF "BUSINESS MANAGEMENT"

The journal of "Business Management" publishes research articles, methodological articles and studies, review articles, book reviews, commentaries and good practices reports.

1. Volume:

- Articles: between 12 – 20 pages;
- Other publications (review articles; book reviews, etc.): between 5 – 10 pages.

2. Submission of materials:

- On paper and electronically at one of the following e-mail addresses:
bm@uni-svishtov.bg or zh.tananeeva@uni-svishtov.bg

3. Technical requirements (the article template is can be downloaded from the webpage of the journal):

- Format – Word for Windows 2003 (at least);
- Font – Times New Roman, size 14 pt, line spacing 1,5 lines;
- Page size – A4, 29–31 lines and 60–65 characters per line;
- Line spacing 1,5 lines (at least 22 pt);
- Margins – Top – 2.54 cm; Bottom – 2.54 cm; Left – 3.17 cm; Right – 3.17 cm;
- Page numbers – bottom right;
- Footnotes – size 10 pt;

4. Layout:

- Title of article title; name, scientific degree and scientific title of author – font: Times New Roman, 14 pt, capital letters, Bold – centered;
- Employer and address of place of employment; contact telephone(s) and e-mail – Times new Roman, 14 pt, capital letters, Bold – centered.
- Abstract – up to 30 lines; Key words – from three to five;
- **JEL** classification code for papers in Economics (<http://ideas.repec.org/j/index.html>);
- Introduction – it should be from half a page to a page long. It should state the main ideas and/or objectives of the study and justify the relevance of the discussed issue.
- The main body of the paper – it should contain discussion questions, an outline of the study and research findings/main conclusions; bibliographical citation and additional notes, explanations and comments written in the footnotes.
- Conclusion – it should provide a summary of the main research points supported by sufficient arguments.
- References – authors should list first references written in Cyrillic alphabet, then references written in Latin alphabet.
- Graphs and figures – Word 2003 or Power Point; the tables, graphs and figures must be embedded in the text (to facilitate language correction and English translation); Font for numbers and inside text – Times New Roman, 12 pt;
- Formulae must be created with Equation Editor;

5. Citation guidelines:

When citing sources, authors should observe the requirements of **APA Style**. More information can be found at: <https://www.uni-svishtov.bg/default.asp?page=page&id=71#jan2017>, or: <http://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/560/01/>

6. Contacts:

Editor in chief: tel.: (+359) 631-66-201
Co-editor in chief: tel.: (+359) 631-66-395
Proofreader: tel.: (+359) 631-66-404
E-mail: bm@uni-svishtov.bg; zh.tananeeva@uni-svishtov.bg;
Web: bm.uni-svishtov.bg
Address: "D. A. Tsenov" Academy of Economics, 2, Em. Chakarov Str., Svishtov, Bulgaria