



Review Article

THE USAGE OF GENETICALLY MODIFIED ORGANIZMS AS A SOURCE OF FOOD

M. I. Soysal*, E.Özkan, E. K. Gurcan

Trakya University, Agriculture Faculty in Tekirdağ, Dept. of Animal Science, Tekirdağ, Turkey

SUMMARY

The availability of Genetically Modified Organisms (GMO's) are increasing. A transgenic organisms or so called Genetically Modified Organisms (GMO) contains stably integrated recombinant DNA in all its cell. In other words the organisms whether it is a plant or animal or microorganisms has a new piece of DNA spliced into a chromosome in each of its cell. This new piece of DNA typically contains a gene that was obtained from another organisms and was modified so that it would be expressed in the new organisms. Scientist can now be able develop crops with desirable traits more quickly than conventional methods. Scientist can introduce genes that endow plant with traits that could never be achieved through conventional methods presented below a review of some methods commonly employed in producing transgenic organisms followed by an overview of some of the many potential ways these products are used as a source of food. The concept of genetically modified organisms has pulled public attention due to potential risk for human or animal health.

Key words: Genetically modified organisms, Transgenic organisms.

INTRODUCTION

The recent years intense scientific interest have been seen in using genetic tools to obtain higher yields alone or agricultural yields with highly efficient secondary metabolites. Biotechnology in one sense began using natural product more efficient production. The term of genetically modified organism (GMO) express the organism with partly new genetic content which never existed in recipient genome but transferred another genome even from different species. Technically, application of recombinant deoxyribonucleic acid (rDNA) techniques for alteration of genetic content of all kind of organism is called Genetic engineering. Any organism obtained this way is called Genetically Modified Organism (GMO). This term is also synonym with "transgenic organisms" and genetically modified crops (GMC). Transgenic organism stands for that any organisms which has stably integrated recombinant DNA in all cells.

Living modified organisms (LMO) is also used interchangeably in this purpose. Any product or food obtained through new

techniques is also called novel food. According to the draft protocol on Biosafety, modern biotechnology includes *in vitro* nucleic acid technology and fusion of cells beyond the taxonomic family that overcomes natural physiological reproductive or recombination barriers and that are not techniques used in traditional breeding and selection (1). So biotechnology and genetic engineering are often used interchangeably. The products of GMO are subject to application in health sector (antibiotics, insulin, interferon) in agrifood system such as microorganisms, plants, animals and in as a industrial process in waste recycling. Most of techniques used in obtaining food include the usage of soil bacteria that produce toxins against some of insects such as Lepidoptera, Diptera, Coleoptera named *Bacillus Thuringiensis* (Bt). Bt preparations are used in organic farming as an insecticide. Bt crops are genetically modified to carry genetic material from Bt in order to make crops able to produce Bt toxins known as Delta endotoxin thereby providing protection against insects during the growth stage of the plant. Using this technique Bt corn which capable of protection against European corn borer, Bt-cotton with protection against budworms and bollworms, canola with herbicide tolerant are developed.

Herbicide tolerant gene of organisms enable the farmers to spray wide spectrum

*Correspondence to: Prof. Dr. , M. I. Soysal, Trakya University, Agriculture Faculty in Tekirdağ, Dept. of Animal Science, 59030 Tekirdağ, Turkey, E-mail: misoysal@ttnet.net.tr

herbicides on their fields killing oil plants but the Herbicide Tolerant (HT) crop. Most common herbicide tolerant crops (cotton, corn, soybean and canola) are tolerant to glyphosate and to glufosinate-ammonium. There are also HT rapeseed and cotton which are tolerant to bromoxynil.

Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations is prepared a web pages for explaining this kind of Biotechnology in food and agriculture (2).

FAO supports a science based evaluation system that would objectively determine the benefits and risks of each individuals GMO. FAO also proposes a close cooperation with World Health Organization and Codex Alimentarius commission and develop standards for foods derived from Genetic Engineering such as to consider the labelling of food derived from GMO.

2. Obtaining Genetically Modified Organisms(GMO)

If any organisms (plant, animal or microorganisms) has any part of its DNA from either the same or from another species called transgenic, mentioned part of DNA typically contain gene that was obtained from another organism. The main practical reason of modifying organisms own genome by inserting gene responsible for herbicide resistance or insect resistance from different organisms. In order to obtain Herbicide Resistance crop (HRC) and insect resistant crop so called Bt Crops. Due to the name of vector to transfer the resistance factor of *Bacillus Thuringiensis* (Bt). This part of DNA of any organisms needs to be modified in order to express in new organism. The inserted part of DNA of transgenic organism is called also transgene. Genetic engineering deals with how to develop transgenic organism by using recombinant DNA technology.

The concept of genetically modified organisms has pulled public attention due to potential risk for human or animal health. Public opinion has hesitated their increased risk or allergic reactions and risk of having unpredictable toxins that may trigger to the undesirable biochemical reactions in the recipient organisms. Another concern is due to having little scientific study about their health risk. Public opinion needs safety test technology techniques proving no potential harm. Unfortunately there is no adequate test technology to assess the potential harm (3).

Ordinary public opinion are concerned of whether the integrated part of recipient genome may cause any health hazard or not since incorporating any organism's gene to the

another organism's genome by using vector requires usage of viral promoters, transcription terminators, antibiotic resistance marker genes and reporter genes.

Generally speaking there is a lack of data to evaluate the safety of GMO as a source of food. The reasons of lack of data on the safety of GMO are scarce of publication on GMO food toxicity and risk of patenting GMO crops without animal testing and complexity of evaluating the safety of GMO as a source of food.

Another source of public opinions hesitate are the facts that DNA has not been always fully broken down in digestive tract and consequently risks for gut bacteria could take up the genes or GMO's plasmids which lets the possibility of spreading of antibiotic resistance. It is also argued that insertion of gene into genome may cause the unintended expression of full genome due to position effect which implies the toxic allergenic reactions. From industrial point of view to identify any food or source of food derived from GMO is making compositional comparison between GMO and non GMO. Then substrate equivalence is considered and evaluated.

As it is argued that this kind of comparison is an insufficient and nonscientific way of taking decisions. More scientific way is to test the product chemically of macro /micro nutrients and known toxins. These tests include molecular diagnostic tests including mRNA fingerprinting, proteomics and secondary metabolite profiling (4). It is also organized in some countries. In recent years there has been an explosion in the use of GMO's. Nowadays more than 50% of (USA) produced soybeans are classified as GMO. More than 30% of USA produced corn is grown from GMO seeds and overall, more than 25 % of total USA cropland now grow genetically engineered crops. Under current law the Federal Drug Administration (FDA) has ruled that GMO's are equivalent to naturally occurring plants and foods.

Agricultural biotechnology is an emerging field influenced directly by GMO concept. There is a tendency such kind of products increasingly to become a major characteristics of agricultural produce of biotechnology may become a safe agricultural tool. Recent studies imply that it may be harmful ecological consequences such as the risk of spreading genetically engineered genes to the indigenous organisms and possibility of disrupting natural systems of pest control and increased toxicity of new

engineered organisms. If they are used as a source of food. The most scared side of GMO concept is creating new weed or virus strains. World wide total area planted with transgenic crop increased from 3 million hectares (1996) to the almost 44.2 million hectares 2000 (5).

Over half of production of soybean, corn and canola in USA , Argentina corn and canola are transgenic varieties. It was argued that in 2000's World Genetically Modified for herbicide resistance and insect resistance are accounted 59 % and 15 % respectively (6) .

The controversy view of multinational companies as a main supporter of usage of biotechnically produced such GMO meds carefully planned introduction of such factors to the organisms should reduce or eliminate the enormous crop losses due to weeds , insects pests, and pathogens . They are also arguing that the effect of reducing the usage of agrochemicals due to gaining resistance by means if transferred gene is beneficial for environment (7).

Another arguments is this pests show rapid genetic evolution in resisting the pesticide characteristics of GMO . The spread of transgenic crops decrease the crop diversity by promoting monocultures which leads to the erosion of genetic diversing .

In other words farming only one crop may cause economic risk and hunger due to genetically homogeneous area may be more vulnerable to the harmful effect of environment.

Farmers have many opportunities to earn their life and to combat with harmful effects of pests other than producing GMO as a working area and to make organisms resistant.

It is necessary to decide whether to attempt altering the genetic structure of organisms can also be the of utility and profit or not . The risks with transgens are may they transform wild (weed) organisms in to the more worse organisms by means of gene flow among them or not. Considering that 2000 transgenic herbicide resistant organisms were planted on 74 % of the 44.2 million hectares devoted to transgenic crop (5).

Moreover the repeated use of transgenic crops in an areas may results in cumulative effect such as accumulation of the toxins in the soil and there is risk toxins from GMO active in the soil decreasing the fertility of soil. In conclusion agricultural biotechnology is driven by profit not by scientific research.

The available independently generated scientific information suggest that (8).

1) The massive use of transgene organisms poses substantial potential risks from an ecological point of view.

2) The ecological effect are not limited to pest resistance and creation of new breeds or virus strains.

3) Transgenic crops can produce environmental toxins the move through the factor and also may up in the soil and water effecting invertebrates and probably ecological processes such as nutrient cycling.

4) There is no method to estimate the results from massive usage of such crops (16).

All genetically modified organisms contain genetic element from package DNA. There are part of artificial genetic elements used to ensure that the inserted genes will be accepted by the genetically manipulated organism also the genes for pesticide protection like *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) gene and most herbicide tolerans genes contain such DNA.

The public opinion afraiding of the risk of this DNA contain a genetic plants that may stimulate the immune system to start sequence of reaction leading to inflammations. Animal experiments that exposure to these genetic extremes may lead to information arthritis , and lymphoma (a malignant blood disease).

Further more it has been demonstrated that DNA is not broken down in gastrointestinal tract to extent formerly behind ingested DNA sequences large enough to contain whole genes have remained intact and entered the blood and tissues . This means there are reasons to suspect that eales GMO foods may increase the risk for contracting said disorders. No research has been done to exclude this possibility.

There are many safety tests on commercial GMO as crops are conducted. In USA test results on genetically modified tomato which was produced by inserting [Kan^r] genes into tomato has appeared on Internet (9). Consequently it has been expressed that GMO modified and parent tomatoes were to be substantially equivalent.

Studies on GMO maize showed that two lines of chardon LL herbicide resistant GMO maize expression the gene of Phosphotriester Acetyl Translase Enzyme (PAT-PROTEIN) showed significant differences in content, compared with maize , GMO maize. In other word, these two type of maize substantially different. Consequently GMO maize express Pat protein may adduce unacceptable health risk.

It is argued that allergen content increased when soybean were genetically modified . To make the soybeans herbicide resistant the

gene of 5'-endyruiyshikimote-3'-phosphate synthetase from *Agrobacterium* was used in spite of safety tests claim there were no differences between GMO and commel lines due to use of inappropriate statistics, several significant. Differences between GMO and control lines were observed in studies on GMO potatoes, GMO rice, GMO cotton. It has been demonstrated that rats had mediocre weight gain when fed GMO soybean, GMO corns, GMO Peas showed no harmful effects on animals but that doesn't mean they are good so urces for humans.

Allergies are major concern with GMO foods especially if ingredients are not labelled in packaged food. There are no reliable ways to test GMO foods for allergies.

Consequently, we need more and better testing methods before making GMO foods available for human consumption.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

FAO has settled web pages on biotechnology and supports science based evolution system that would objectively determine the benefits and risks of each individual GMO. This calls for a cautious case by case approach to address legitimate concerns for biotechnology of each product or process prior to its release. However FAO is also aware of the concern about the potential risks passed by several aspects of biotechnologies (2).

Tissue culture has applicability potential in food sector for products of secondary metabolites. Combining biochemistry with genetic engineering can increase the yield of natural ingredients such as potential source of natural food color, flavour, and aroma ingredients and improve the economics of tissue culture (10).

The Institute of Food Technologists has reviewed the scientific and policy issues concerning food derived from GMO and concluded that the safety of food derived from GMO is adequately assured by science-based procedures effectively used by Federal Drug Administrations of USA and breeders (11).

First genetically engineered animals as fish called GE fish presently request approval from FDA to be marketed to consumers (12).

New Zealand's consumer for education about genetic engineering constructed a guide to genetic engineering as thirteen myths of genetic engineering and (inform the public that cross pollination occurs between GE crops and non GE crops and their wild relatives in this way resistance to meet killer example might be transmitted to weeds making them more difficult to control (13).

British Physicist who won 1995 Nobel prize said "My worry is that other advances in science may result in other means of mass destruction may be more readily available even than nuclear weapons." Genetic Engineering is quite a possible area, because of these dreadful developments that are taking place there.

Generally the aim of modified organisms targeted to the herbicide resistance, vitamin enrichment to make organisms more large size and more robust in unsufficient environment and to give the organisms to be able to produce Bt toxin (natural insecticide found in *Bacillus thuringiensis*). For instance golden rice variety of crop obtained. GMO has gained two genes one from bacterium as beta carotene (precursor of vitamin A) and one from another organism (14). General outlines of modified organism's genome are deciding which genes to insert into the host plant then the gene from selected organisms must be isolated, purified and cloned in order to be inserted into the host plant. Two processes of insertion exist. *Agrobacterium* and the particle gun. Tumor Inducing (TI) plasmid naturally found in soil bacteria called *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* used as a vector of carrying the desired genes into the organisms. In natural way of cycle of *Bacillus thuringiensis*. Bacterium injects the plasmid into the plant cell. A piece of the plasmid called T-DNA integrates into the plant genome. Genes on the plasmid piece encode tumor inducing proteins and transform the organisms which cause crown galls in the plant (15).

Ti plasmid of ring shaped organel components necessary to help it to integrate into host cell is genome consisted DNA itself. The substructure of Ti plasmid consisted replication origin T-DNA transfer region, Nopaline utilization region. Tumor production region and Nopaline synthesis region. The T-DNA part of this plasmid consisted of tumor production region and nopaline synthesis region so after the result of process of transformation of T-DNA from T-DNA from donor genome to the cell of host genome and subsequent growth of the host plant is realized by cultured the cell of crown galls.

The particle gun method includes, gold or platinum particle coated with (Ti) plasmid and then injected into the host cell during the first step of process outlined in *Agrobacterium* methods are applied in the species where *Agrobacterium* methods did not work such as corn, rice, potatoes. Principally *Agrobacterium* method favoured due to not inserting genes randomly in the host cell's

genome where us particle gun method cause inserting material not in preciserly spot in host cell's genome.

Table 1 and 2 shows the developed countries are not practising these technologies much comparing with developing or non developed country. In spite of these technologies are discovered in

developed country probably due to public awareness they are not using such products.

It is argued more information and establishment of international administrative agencies focussed particularly on this topic such as Codex Alimentarius of U.N. are needed.

Table 1. Number of Genetically Modified Organisms in Developing Countries

Region	Experimental Phase	Field Trials	Commercial Phase	Not specified	Total
Asia	91	48	6	49	194
Africa	12	9	2	-	23
Latin America and The Caribbean Sea	87	108	5	-	200
Europea	16	2	-	-	18
Near East	4	11	-	-	15
Total	250	188	13	49	500

Source: http://fao.org/biotech/inventory_admin/dep/default.asp

Table 2. Number of Technology Related with GMO in Developing Countries

Region	Total Technologies Under Experimental Phase	Total Technologies Under Field Trial	Total Technologies Under Commercial Phase	Total Technologies Under Not specified	Total
Asia	146	9	19	117	291
Africa	207	1	16	--	224
Latin America and The Caribbean Sea	258	255	18	1	532
Europea	158	49	12	--	219
Near East	71	1	6	--	78
Total	840	315	71	118	1344

Source: http://fao.org/biotech/inventory_admin/dep/default.asp

REFERENCES

- 1 European Commission on Agriculture Working document. Economic Impacts of Genetically Modified Crops on the Agri-Food Sector (Glossary / http://europa.eu.int/comm/agriculture/index_en.htm).
- 2 Food And Agriculture Organization of the United Nations 2003. <http://www.fao.org/biotech/stat.asp>.
- 3 Arpad-pusztai, 2003 . <http://www.actionbioscience.org>
- 4 Kuiper, H.A. Noteborn H.P. J.M. and Peijnenburg A.A.C.M. (1990) Adequacy of Methods for Testing Safety of Genetically Modified Foods. The Lancet , 354, 1315-1316) <http://www.btiinternetrom/~nlpwessex/documents/gwocarto.htm> .
- 5 James , C. (2000) Global Review of commercialized transgenic crops : 2000, International Service for Acquisition of Agri-biotech Application . I.S.S.A. Briefs no: 21-2000
- 6 Alteri, M. A. (2000). The Ecological Impacts of Agricultural Biotechnology. An Actionbioscience.org.Original Article Agroecology of Dept. of Env. Sci. Policy and Management. , Univ. of Calif. Berkeley, USA. (<http://www.cnr.berkeley.edu>)
- 7 Krinsky, S.; R.P. Wrubel , (1996). Agricultural Biotechnology and Environment Science , Policy and Soil Issues , Univ. of Illinois Press. Urbans.
- 8 Alteri, M. A. (2002). The Ecological Impacts of Agricultural Biotechnology. An Actionbioscience.org.Original Article Agroecology of Dept. of Env. Sci. Policy and Management. , Univ. of Calif. Berkeley, USA. <http://www.cnr.berkeley.edu>.
- 9 Alliance For Bio Integrity Website <http://www.biointegrity.org> (1991) Including Calgene FLAVR SAVR™ Found To Report Pp 1-604 Int . Res And Dev. Corp Test Report Pp 173-1738 / Conclusion of the Expert Panel Regarding The Safety Of FLAVR SAVR™ Tomato ENVIRON .

Arlington VA, USA Pp 2355-2382 Four Week Oral (Intubaton) Toxicity Study in Rats By IRDC. Pp 2895-3000.

10 Havkin, D. F.; R. Dorn and T. Leustat (1997) . Tissue Culture for Production of Secondary Metabolite Food Technology. Inst. of Food Tech. November 1997.

11 Anonymus, (2000). Backgrounder by the Institute of Food Technologist , www.ift.org , A.L. Parsby Media Relations Manager , at I.F.T. 221, N. Lasavle st. Chiacago, 12.60601, Genetically Modified Organisma, January 2000.

12 The Center For Food Safety <http://www.foodsafetynow.org/> .

13 Consumers for Education About Genetic Engineering

<http://www.prorev.com/genetic.htm>.

14 Department of Biology , Davidson College , Davidson NC 28036

<http://www.bio.davidson.edu/people/vecase.biologyoftransgenicorganizms.2003> .

15 200, Biotechnology Gods Brough Backgrounder

http://persephone.agcompurdeu.edu/_agcom/news/backgra/biotech_edu.htm/ .

16 Cuming, J. 2001. The Fate of Food Genes and DNA CpGM Motif and Its Impact , University of Western . Ontario, Canada.