

WELFARE PARAMETERS AND KEEL BONE DAMAGE IN LAYING HENS REARED IN DIFFERENT PRODUCTION SYSTEMS

Sava Spiridonović¹, Mirjana Đukić Stojčić¹, Lidija Perić¹, Marko Pajić², Slobodan Knežević²

¹Faculty of Agriculture, University of Novi Sad, Trg Dositeja Obradovića 8, 21 000 Novi Sad, Serbia

²Scientific Veterinary Institute, Novi Sad, Rumenački put 20, 21 000 Novi Sad, Serbia

Corresponding author: Sava Spiridonović, sava.spiridonovic@stocarstvo.edu.rs

Original scientific paper

Abstract: The aim of this study was to determine the effect of housing system and the age of hens on welfare parameters and the prevalence of keel bone damage in laying hens. In this study two housing systems were evaluated: aviary system and enriched cages. From each system and age, we used 50 randomly selected hens from different cages and tiers. The results showed significant differences between systems in the type of keel bone damage. There was no significant difference in keel deviation between systems, but the higher prevalence of keel fractures was found in aviary system. In addition, significant effect of the age of hens was found on the occurrence of keel fractures. Footpad dermatitis had statistically higher occurrence in enriched cages at 62 weeks of age. Hens from aviary system had significantly better plumage score compared to hens from enriched cages but only at 42 weeks of age.

Key words: keel bone damage, housing system, welfare, laying hens, aviary, enriched cages

Introduction

In 2012 EU countries banned conventional cages for the welfare reasons, when Directive 1999-74-EC came to force. In Serbia this directive will come to force in 2024. This will lead to transitioning to new housing systems in poultry production which are significantly better when it comes to animal welfare. However, new systems generated new problems, such as keel bone damage (KBD), which also endangers hen's welfare. There are two types of KBD - keel fractures (KF) and keel deviation (KD) and both can be painful to the bird and reduce productivity (*Harlander-Matauschek et al., 2015*). Fractures are characterized by sharp bends, shearing and fragmentation of the keel bone. Keel fractures can lead to pain and stress response in hens (*Riber et al., 2018; Wei et al., 2019*). Deviations

are characterized by an abnormally sharpened structure that deviates from a theoretically perfect 2-dimensional straight plane (*Casey-Trott et al., 2015*). Prevalence of KBD ranges from 5% to as high as 97% depending on housing system and age of hens (*Rodenburg et al., 2008; Wilkins et al., 2011; Petrik et al., 2015; Riber and Hinrichsen, 2016; Regmi et al., 2016*).

Besides keel bone condition there are many other traits that indicate welfare of laying hens. *Nicol et al. (2009)* described plumage score as one of the major welfare indicators because feather pecking is one of the most important causes of feather damage, and presents major welfare problem (*Habig and Distil, 2013*). Also, foot pad dermatitis and skin lesions are important because they are forms of contact dermatitis, affecting skin in contact with irritating materials (*Green et al., 1985; Martins et al., 2016; Thofner et al., 2019*).

The aim of this study was to determine the effect of housing system and the age of hens on welfare parameters and the prevalence of keel bone damage in laying hens.

Material and Methods

In this research two types of housing systems were examined: enriched cages with full equipment and aviary system. Fifty hens were randomly selected from each housing system at the middle and at the end of production cycles (43 and 63 weeks of age, respectively). From enriched cages hens were taken from different cages and levels, and from aviary system hens were taken from the floor and different tiers.

Assessment of keel bone damage was performed by palpation. The prevalence of KBD was assessed using the technique of palpation according to the method described by *Scholz et al. (2008)*. Palpation was done by running fingers alongside and over the keel bone. It was determined whether the damage was present or not.

Other welfare parameters included in this research were: plumage condition, skin lesions, comb pecking wounds, footpad dermatitis and claw length. Plumage condition was assessed by using the method described by *Tauson et al. (2005)*, scale ranging from 0 (highly damaged plumage) to 4 (very good plumage). Footpad dermatitis, skin lesion and comb pecking wounds were assessed on the scale from 0 to 2 depending on severity, according to Welfare quality assessment protocol for poultry (2009) (0 presenting no visible damages, and 2 presenting severe damage). Claws were assessed by its length, and were described either as normal or long.

Statistical analysis was performed using Statistica 13.5. Analysis of variance ANOVA was used to compare the mean values between evaluated parameters among housing systems and age of hens. Post hoc analysis was

performed using Mann Whitney test. Results of statistical analysis were considered significant when the $p \leq 0.05$.

Results and Discussion

The results of this research showed high occurrence of KBD in both housing systems. The higher prevalence of KBD was detected in aviary system compared to enriched cages. Besides that, the main difference in these two housing systems is in the type of KBD. In aviary system main cause of KBD were keel fractures (KF), and in enriched cages the main type of KBD were keel deviations (KD) (table 1). Regarding the keel deviation (KD), it's occurrence was relatively uniform between housing systems, without statistically significant differences. These results are expected since the KD is caused mainly by the pressure of the keel bone on metal perches which are present in both housing systems. Also, there was no effect of age of hens on the occurrence of KD, since the keel bone ends its ossification until 40 weeks of age (*Toscano et al., 2020*) and keel deviations are not likely to develop after that time.

Table 1. Prevalence of keel bone damage in laying hens in different housing systems and in different phase of production cycles

Type of KBD	43 weeks of age		62 weeks of age	
	Aviary system	Enriched cages	Aviary system	Enriched cages
Keel deviation (KD), %	27.3	29.2	23.3	30
Keel fracture (KF), %	16.4 ^a	4.2 ^b	30 ^c	3.3 ^b
KF+KD (KBD), %	43.7 ^{ab}	33.4 ^{ab}	53.3 ^a	33.3 ^b

^{a,b,c} Values with different superscript within the same row are statistically significant

Đukić Stojić et al. (2017) investigated the influence of the housing system on the occurrence of KBD, and found that as many as 39% of hens in enriched cages had KBD. High frequency of KBD in this housing system can be attributed to metal perches. The assumption that perches have a key role in developing KBD was confirmed by other authors too (*Rodenburg et al., 2008; Wilkins et al., 2011; Đukić Stojić et al., 2017*).

Statistical analysis showed significantly higher occurrence of keel fractures in aviary system compared to enriched cages (table 1). Some authors explain this by the increased risk of accidents and falls in more extensive housing systems (*Vits et al., 2005; Sandilands et al., 2009; Wilkins et al., 2011; Lay et al., 2011*). Also, in our research, keel fractures were highly related to the age of laying hens, but only in aviary system. This can be explained by the fact that in aviary system birds are more active, and have more chances of injury which only get worse with the age of

hens. Similar results were reported by *Eusemann et al. (2018b, 2020)*. *Habig and Distl (2013)* and *Sherwin et al. (2010)* found that the incidence of fractures in laying hens at the end of the production cycle in enriched cages ranged from 30 to 53.3%.

Table 2. Prevalence of welfare parameters in different housing systems and in different phase of production cycles

Welfare parameters	43 weeks of age		62 weeks of age	
	Aviary system	Enriched cages	Aviary system	Enriched cages
Footpad dermatitis (FPD), %	9.1 ^a	6.6 ^a	10 ^a	33.3 ^b
Plumage	3.89 ^a	3.62 ^b	3.60 ^b	3.58 ^b
Skin lesions	-	-	-	-
Comb pecking wounds	-	-	-	-
Long claws, %	-	-	-	6.6

^{a,b}Values with different superscript within the same row are statistically significant

Higher occurrence of FPD was established in hens housed in enriched cages compared to aviary system (table 2). It is interesting that there was no significant difference in young age, but the difference was significant at the age of 62 weeks. One of the explanations for the higher occurrence of FPD in enriched cages is the existence of metal wire from which the cage is made. Other authors reported that up to 39% of bird had foot pad dermatitis in non-cage systems (*Abrahamsson and Tauson, 1995; Gunnarsson et al., 1995; Wang et al., 1998; Rönngen et al., 2008*).

Generally, the plumage condition of the birds in both housing systems was satisfactory. The best plumage score had hens housed in aviary system at 43 weeks of age. Statistically significant differences were found between hens housed in aviary system and enriched cages at 43 weeks of age, but not at the 62 weeks of age. *Staaveren et al (2021)* found that the housing system affected plumage, and that plumage from cage systems had a poorer assessment of plumage compared to hens from non-cage housing systems, which is in accordance with the results obtained in this study. Perches and other equipment can lead to problems in plumage condition in laying hens (*Sepeur et al., 2015*). Significant deterioration of plumage was found with increasing age of hens, which is in accordance with the results of other authors (*Rönchen et al., 2007; Habig and Distl, 2013, Schreiter et al., 2020*).

Only 6.6% of laying hens at the end of the production cycle had long claws in the enriched cages, while in the aviary system there were no hens with long claws. Also, no long claws were found in younger hens reared in enriched cages. No statistically significant differences were found between the housing system as well as the age of the laying hens, regarding claw length. Further, no hens with skin

lesions and comb pecking wounds were found in either housing systems at both ages.

Conclusion

Significant differences in occurrence and the type of KBD were found between the housing systems. Generally, higher occurrence of KBD was found in aviary system compared to enriched cages. Regarding the type of the KBD higher prevalence of keel fractures was found in aviary system, while in case of KD there were no significant differences between systems. In addition, significant effect of the age of hens was found only on the occurrence of keel fractures.

Regarding the welfare parameters, higher incidence of footpad dermatitis was detected in enriched cages compared to aviary system, but only at 62 weeks of age. Also, housing system had significant effect on plumage condition which was better in aviary system at 42 weeks of age.

Based on all of the above, it can be concluded that housing systems and age have a significant impact on the occurrence and the type of keel bone damage and some welfare parameters (FPD, plumage score and claw length). Further research is needed to determine specific risk factors of KF and KD in order to develop strategies for reducing the incidence of this multifactorial welfare issue.

Parametri dobrobiti i deformacija grudne kosti kokoši nosilja u različitim sistemima držanja

Sava Spiridonović, Mirjana Đukić Stojčić, Lidija Perić, Marko Pajić, Slobodan Knežević

Rezime

Cilj ovog rada bio je da se utvrdi efekat sistema držanja i starost na parametre dobrobiti i učestalost deformacija grudne kosti kod kokoši nosilja. U ovom istraživanju su ocenjena dva sistema držanja: avijarni sistem i obogaćeni kavezi. Iz svakog sistema i proizvodnih ciklusa koristili smo 50 slučajno odabranih kokoši iz različitih kaveza i nivoa. Rezultati su pokazali značajne razlike između sistema u tipu oštećenja grudne kosti. Nije bilo značajne razlike u devijacijama grudne kosti između sistema, ali je veća učestalost fraktura grudne kosti utvrđena u avijarnom sistemu. Pored toga, utvrđen je značajan uticaj starosti kokoši na pojavu fraktura grudne kosti. Dermatitis tabanskih jastučića imao je statistički veću pojavu u obogaćenim kavezima u starosti od 62 nedelje. Kokoši iz avijarnog sistema imale su znatno bolju ocenu operjalosti u poređenju sa kokošima iz obogaćenih kaveza,

ali samo u starosti od 42 nedelje.

Ključne reči: deformacija grudne kosti, sistem držanja, dobrobit, kokoši nosilje, avijarni sistem, obogaćeni kavezi

Acknowledgments

This article is based upon work from COST Action (CA15224) and financed by the Ministry of Science and Technological Development, Republic of Serbia (451-03-9/2021-14/200117).

References

- ABRAHAMSSON P., TAUSON R. (1995): Aviary systems and conventional cages for laying hens. Effects on production, egg quality, health and bird location in three hybrids. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica*, 45, 191–203.
- CASEY-TROTT T., HEERKENS J.L., PETRIK M., REGMI P., SCHRADER L., TOSCANO M.J. (2015): Methods for assessment of keel bone damage in poultry. *Poultry Science*, 94, 10, 2339–2350.
- ĐUKIĆ STOJČIĆ M., PERIĆ L., RELIĆ R., BOŽIČKOVIĆ I., RODIĆ V., REZAR V. (2017): Keel bone damage in laying hens reared in different production systems in Serbia. *Biotechnology in Animal Husbandry*, 33, 4, 487–492.
- EUSEMANN B.K., SHARIFI A.R., PATT A., REINHARD A.K., SCHRADER L., THÖNE-REINEKE C., PETOW S. (2018b): Influence of a sustained release deslorelin acetate implant on Reproductive physiology and associated traits in laying hens. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 20, 9, 1846. doi:10.3389/fphys.2018.01846.
- EUSEMANN B.K., PATT A., SCHRADER L., WEIGEND S., THÖNE-REINEKE C., PETOW S. (2020): The role of egg production in the etiology of keel bone damage in laying hens. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 7, 81, doi: 10.3389/fvets.2020.00081.
- GREENE J.A., MCCRACKEN R., EVANS R. (1985): A contact dermatitis of broilers – clinical and pathological findings. *Avian Pathology*, 14, 23–38.
- GUNNARSSON S., ODEN K., ALGERS B., SVEDBERG J., KEELING L. (1995): Poultry health and behavior in a tiered system for loose housed layers. Report 35, Department of Animal Hygiene, SLU, Skara, pp 142.
- HABIG C., DISTL O. (2013): Evaluation of bone strength, keel bone status, plumage condition and egg quality of two layer lines kept in small group housing systems. *British Poultry Science*, 54, 413–424.

- HARLANDER-MATAUSCHEK A., RODENBURG T.B., SANDILANDS V., TOBALSKE B.W., TOSCANO M.J. (2015): Causes of keel bone damage and their solutions in laying hens, *Worlds Poultry Science Journal*, 71, 3, 461-472.
- LAY D.C. JR., FULTON R.M., HESTER P.Y., KARCHER D.M., KJAER J.B., MENCH J.A. (2011): Hen welfare in different housing systems. *Poultry Science*, 90, 1, 278-294.
- MATRINS B.B., MARTINS M.R.F.B, MENDES A.A., FERNANDES B.C.S., AGUIAR B.F. (2016): Footpad Dermatitis in Broilers: Differences between Strains and Gender. *Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science*, 18, 3, 461-466.
- NICOL C.J., CAPLEN G., EDGAR J., BROWNE W.J. (2009): Associations between welfare indicators and environmental choice in laying hens. *Animal Behaviour*, 78, 413-424.
- PETRIK M.T., GUERIN M.T., WIDOWSKI T.M. (2015): On-farm comparison of keel fracture prevalence and other welfare indicators in conventional cage and floor-housed laying hens in Ontario. *Canada Poultry Science*, 94, 579-585.
- REGMI P., SMITH N., NELSON N., HAUT R.C., ORTH M.W. (2016): Karcher Housing conditions alter properties of the tibia and humerus during the laying phase in Lohmann white Leghorn hens. *Poultry Science*, 95, 198-206.
- RIBER A.B., HINRICHSEN L.K. (2016): Keel-bone damage and foot injuries in commercial laying hens in Denmark. *Animal Welfare*, 25, 179-184.
- RIBER A.B., CASEY-TROTT T.M., HERSKIN M.S. (2018): The influence of keel bone damage on welfare of laying hens. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 5, 1-12.
- RODENBURG T.B., TUYTTENS F.A.M., DE REU K., HERMAN L., ZOONS J., SONCK B. (2008): Welfare assessment of laying hens in furnished cages and non-cage systems: an on-farm comparison. *Animal Welfare*, 17, 363-373.
- RÖNGEN S., SCHOLZ B., HEWICKER-TRAUTWEIN M., HAMANN H., DISTL O. (2008): Foot pad health in Lohmann Selected Leghorn and Lohmann Brown laying hens kept in different housing systems with modified pech design. *Archiv Geflügelkunde*, 72, 97-105.
- RÖNCHEN S., SCHOLZ B., HAMANN H., DISTL O. (2007): Foot pad health, plumage condition, integument and claw length of Lohmann Silver laying hens kept in small aviary housing systems, furnished cages and an aviary housing system. *Archiv Tierzucht*, 50, 388-402.
- SANDILANDS V., MOINARD C., SPARKS N.H. (2009): Providing laying hens with perches: fulfilling behavioural needs but causing injury? *British poultry science*, 50, 4, 395-406.
- SCHOLZ B., RÖNCHEN S., HAMANN H., HEWICKER-TRAUTWEIN M., DISTL O. (2008): Keel bone condition in laying hens : a histological evaluation of macro scopically assessed keel bones. *Berliner und Münchener Tierärztliche Wochenschrift*, 121, 89-94.

- SCHREITER R., DAMME K., KLUNKER M., RAOULT C., VON BOREL E., FREICK M. (2020): Effects of edible environmental enrichments during the rearing and laying periods in a littered aviary—Part 1: integument condition in pullets and laying hens. *Poultry Science*, 99, 11, 5184-5196.
- SEPEUR S., SPINDLER B., SCHULZE-BISPING M., HABIG C., ANDRESSON R., BEYERBACH M., KEMPER N. (2015): Comparison of plumage condition of laying hens with intact and trimmed beaks kept on commercial farms. *European Poultry Science*, 79. doi: 10.1399/eps.2015.116.
- SHERWIN C.M., RICHARDS G.H., NICOL C.J. (2010): Comparison of the welfare of layer hens in 4 housing systems in the UK. *British Poultry Science*, 51, 488–499.
- STAAVEREN N., ELLIS J., BAES C., HARLANDER-MATAUSCHEK A. (2021): A meta-analysis on the effect of environmental enrichment on feather pecking and feather damage in laying hens. *Poultry Science*, 100, 397–411.
- TAUSON R., KJAER J., MARIA G., CEPERO R., HOLM K.E. (2005): Applied scoring of integument and health in laying hens *Animal Science Papers and Reports*, 23, 153-159.
- TOSCANO M., DUNN I., CHRISTENSEN J.P., PETOW S., KITTELSEN S., ULRICH R. (2020): Explanations for keel bone fractures in laying hens: are there explanations in addition to elevated egg production? *Poultry science*, 99, 4183-4194.
- VITS A., WEITZENBÜRGER D., HAMANN H., DISTL O. (2005): Production, egg quality, bone strength, claw length, and keel bone deformities of laying hens housed in furnished cages with different group sizes. *Poultry Science*, 84, 10, 1511–1519.
- WANG G., EKSTRAND C., SVEDBERG J. (1998): Wet litter and perches as risk factors for the development of foot pad dermatitis in floor-housed hens. *British Poultry Science*, 39, 191–197.
- WEI H.D, LI C., XIN H.W., LI S., BI Y.J., LI X., LI J.H., ZHANG R.X., BAO J. (2019): Keel fracture causes stress and inflammatory responses and inhibits the expression of the orexin system in laying hens. *Animals*, 9, 10, 804, doi: 10.3390/ani9100804.
- WELFARE QUALITY (2009): Welfare Quality assessment protocol for poultry (broilers, laying hens). Welfare Quality Consortium, Lelystad, Netherlands.
- WILKINS L.J., MCKINSTRY J.L., AVERY N.C., KNOWLES T.G., BROWN S.N., TARLTON J., NICOL C.J. (2011): Influence of housing system and design on bone strength and keel bone fractures in laying hens. *Veterinary Record*, 169, 414.